Geochemical Perspectives Letters

Direct precipitation of siderite in ferruginous environments

**A. Grengs, G. Ledesma, Y. Xiong, S. Katsev,
S.W. Poulton, E.D. Swanner, C. Wittkop**

Supplementary Information

The Supplementary Information includes:

Study Site

Canyon Lake is located at N46°49’58.069”, W87°55’14.858”, within the temperate upper peninsula of Michigan, USA. The lake is located on private property owned by the Huron Mountain Club, in an area consisting of coniferous forest on a substrate of Archean gneiss and relatively thin Pleistocene glacial deposits. Canyon Lake and its immediate catchment are undeveloped and managed as a wilderness area. The lake has a surface area of 1 hectare and maximum depth of 23 m (Lambrecht *et al.,* 2018). There is no surface inflow to the lake; groundwater supplies solutes, and a small stream flows out. The lake experiences limited wind mixing due to shelter from gneiss outcrops that are up to 20 m in height.

Water Column Properties
The iron chemocline is ~17 m deep. Above the chemocline, the water column is typically split into an oxic surface layer (~0–8 m), and an anoxic middle layer (~8–17 m), which mix seasonally. Below the chemocline, dissolved iron concentrations increase rapidly to a relatively constant concentration of ~1600 µM in its deepest waters (Lambrecht *et al.,* 2020; Swanner *et al.*, 2021). There is no evidence of mixing of the water mass below the chemocline since at least the late 1930’s (Lambrecht *et al.,* 2018).

Methods

Water Column Geochemistry

Canyon Lake water column geochemistry methods and data from 2015–2019 were previously reported in Swanner *et al.* (2021). Standard techniques were utilised, including *in situ* sensor monitoring of dissolved oxygen, and inline filtration (to 0.45 µm) for cation (acid preserved) and anion samples.

Sediment Sample Collection and Preparation

Sediment Traps. Canyon sediment traps were deployed May through September 2019 (114 days). Canyon traps utilised funnels with a 346.36 cm^2 diameter due to the low sedimentation rate in the system. Three traps were deployed in Canyon Lake at the 7.5, 15 and 20 m water depths to capture phases from the oxic, chemocline and anoxic layers, respectively. Due to the wilderness nature of the environment, biological poisons were not used in the traps. Recovered trap solids were immediately subsampled under a nitrogen (N_2) atmosphere, and samples for XANES and EXAFS analysis were stored anoxically in an N_2-filled airtight container.

Sediment Freeze Core. In February 2018, a 103.5 cm-length sediment core was obtained from Canyon Lake's deepest point using an aluminium frozen wedge freeze corer chilled with a mixture of dry ice and ethanol (*e.g.*, Wright, 1980). The core was lowered into the sediments and held in position using drive rods for ten minutes. The recovered core was transported on dry ice and subsequently stored at −80 °C. The recovered freeze core was subsequently sectioned and subsampled at the LacCore Facility at the University of Minnesota, and at Iowa State University. The core was maintained at −80 °C with dry ice during handling but was thawed once it was brought into a 100% N_2 glovebox (Ledesma *et al*., 2023). Some variations in sample intervals and features observed by core depth occurred due to variations in the sediment, and breakages that occurred in the frozen blocks during recovery and transport.

Porewaters were extracted from sediments inside an anoxic glovebox using Rhizon samplers (Islam, 2022), and $\delta^{13}C$ and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) were determined by measuring gas evolved from 1 mL of sample water injected into Exetainers pre-loaded with concentrated phosphoric acid, as previously detailed (Lambrecht *et al.,* 2020). Porosity (n) was calculated from freeze core samples by recording the subsample's initial mass, then melting the frozen sediment chunks in a 50 mL centrifuge tube to obtain a sample volume. Samples were then freeze dried and a dry sample weight was reached. From this data we were able to obtain the bulk density (ρ_b) and assumed that the particle density (ρ_d) was 2.6 g/mL. The equation used was $n = (1 - (\rho_b/\rho_d)) \times 100$ %.

Constraints on Sediment Age

Our study did not collect sediment age data, but radiocarbon dates on a long sediment core collected in 1970 from Canyon Lake are available in the Neotoma Database (https://data.neotomadb.org/15682, https://doi.org/10.21233/zpe5-s053). A simple linear age model based on the calibrated ages (IntCal13) of the two uppermost dates (150 and 295 cm below lake floor) yields an average sedimentation rate of 0.1 cm/yr. Based on these constraints, the siderite zone at approximately 60 cm depth would have been deposited within the past 600 years. While this places a maximum age constraint on our sediments, it is likely a significant underestimate of the actual sedimentation rate, which would be higher in unconsolidated surface sediments.

Geochemical Analyses

XRF. Freeze dried samples were prepared for XRF by first homogenizing them with a mortar and pestle into finer particles. Then samples were individually weighed with masses ranging from 0.4745 to 1.4448 g, depending on sediment textures and compositions. These loose powder samples were placed into a polyethylene cup (SPEX 3527 40 mm X-Cell) and covered with 3.0 µm Etnom film. Samples were analysed on a Rigaku Supermini 200 XRF Spectrometer under a helium atmosphere with Pd-anode X-rays at 50 kV and 4.0 mA. Samples were rotated, with a total scan time of ~45 minutes *per* sample.

XRD. Following XRF, samples were prepared for powder XRD. The samples were loaded into aluminium holders and loosely packed with a smoother. Samples were analysed on a Rigaku Ultima IV with a Cu-Kα radiation source, and an X-ray energy of 40 Ma and 44 kV. Samples were scanned from 5 to 75° 2θ with 0.02° intervals.

We examined the ratio of siderite peak area between 31.1° to 32.1° 2θ in comparison to quartz peak area between 26.1° to 26.9° 2θ to provide a semiquantitative siderite to quartz ratio (I_{sid}/I_{qtz}). Quartz was chosen as a basis for this ratio on the assumption of a relatively constant amount of quartz in the samples. Thus, when the I_{sid}/I_{qtz} is greater than one, it is interpreted to contain siderite.

Elementar CS. Freeze-dried and homogenised samples were analysed for total carbon and sulphur content using an Elementar CS cube elemental analyser. To remove carbonate phases, the samples were weighed into silver capsules, wetted with a small amount of MQ water and put in a fumigation chamber with a beaker containing 12 M HCl for 6 hours. After removal from the fumigation chamber, samples were dried on a hotplate for 48 hours and wrapped in a tin capsule before being analysed (Harris *et al.,* 2001).

Iron Phase Partitioning. The phase partitioning of Fe was determined *via* the sequential extraction techniques of Canfield *et al.* (1986) and Poulton and Canfield (2005), with the latter modified for application to modern sediments (Zegeye *et al.,* 2012; Poulton, 2021). Iron present as acid volatile sulfide (Fe_{AVS}) was extracted by a concentrated HCl extraction and was below detection (<0.001), followed by a chromous chloride extraction to dissolve pyrite (Fe_{py}), with the sulfide liberated from each extraction being fixed as Ag_2S (Canfield *et al.,* 1986). Iron concentrations were then determined gravimetrically. Operationally defined non-sulfidic Fe phases were initially extracted with 0.5 N HCl for 1 hour. This extraction dissolves phases such as Fe_{AVS}, surface-reduced Fe(II), poorly crystalline Fe carbonates, green rust and poorly crystalline hydrous ferric oxides such as ferrihydrite (Poulton, 2021). The reduced Fe phases ($Fe(II)_{unsulf}$) in this extract were immediately determined by spectrophotometer using the ferrozine assay (Stookey, 1970), and Fe(III) (*i.e.* ferrihydrite; Fe_{ox1}) was determined *via* atomic adsorption spectroscopy (AAS) after subtraction of the Fe(II) phases (Zegeye *et al.,* 2012; Poulton, 2021). A sodium dithionite extraction was then used to dissolve crystalline Fe (oxyhydr)oxides (*e.g.,* goethite, hematite; Fe_{ox2}), which was followed by a sodium acetate extraction to dissolve magnetite (Fe_{mag}), with Fe in both extracts determined by AAS (Poulton and Canfield, 2005). Replicate extractions gave a relative standard deviation of < 5% for all phases.

Iron phase partitioning data are reported in Table S-1, and the highly reactive Fe fraction (Fe_{HR}) was determine as the sum of $Fe(II)_{unsulf} + Fe_{ox1} + Fe_{ox2} + Fe_{mag} + Fe_{py}$ (Xiong *et al.,* 2019). The ratios Fe_{HR}/Fe_T and Fe_{py}/Fe_{HR} were calculated and compared to calibrated thresholds for determining different water column redox states (Poulton and Canfield, 2011; Poulton, 2021). As expected, all data plot in the anoxic ferruginous field (Fig. S-1).

Microscopy. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive Analysis (EDS) were used to identify and characterise Fe- and Mn-bearing particulate phases. For this research, the EDS was used to identify particles containing Fe, Mn, S, P, Si, O, C, Ca and Mg. Samples for SEM-EDS analysis were selected from cores and traps. Homogenised samples were sprinkled onto a 10 mm aluminium mount with black carbon tape. The filtered samples were directly placed onto the carbon tape. Initial EDS analysis was performed on uncoated samples, with follow-up imaging work on carbon-coated samples. All samples were analysed on MNSU's JEOLJSM-6510LV/LGS SEM. The conditions were set to an accelerating voltage of 15.0 kV, working distance of 15 mm, and spot size of 60.

Mineral Saturation Analysis. Mineral saturation calculations were performed in Geochemists Workbench (GWB; Student Edition, Release 14) for purposes of evaluating the saturation state of siderite relative to a range of Fe and DIC concentrations and pH levels. The saturation state was modelled using the B-dot equation from the Debye-Huckel equation within GWB. A majority of water column data imported was from May 2018, including cation, anion, dissolved oxygen and temperature measurements; pH values were averaged from June 2015 and June 2017. Sediment freeze core

DIC concentrations were used in GWB for modelling siderite saturation instead of the water column DIC concentrations (though water and sediment DIC concentrations were similar).

To assess siderite saturation states, the anoxic water depth of 20 m was selected to run different scenarios in GWB. Three scenarios were considered to evaluate single variable changes within the porewater, which included 1) multiplying Fe concentrations by 1.5, 2 and 2.5 times its original concentration, 2) doubling DIC concentrations, and 3) changing pH from 6 to 8 in half level increments.

The saturation index was calculated as log Q/K, where the solubility constant is $K = 10^{-10.45}$ and the activity product is $Q = [Fe^{2+}]\ [CO_3^{2-}]$. The influence of pH on carbonate mineral saturation is demonstrated from carbonate equilibria:

$$[\mathrm{CO_3^{2-}}] = \mathrm{DIC}\left(\frac{[\mathrm{H^+}]}{K_2} + \frac{[\mathrm{H^+}]^2}{K_1 K_2} + 1\right)^{-1}$$

where $K_1 = 10^{-6.35}$, $K_2 = 10^{-10.33}$, and $[H^+] = 10^{(-\mathrm{pH})}$.

Under relevant environmental conditions, the concentration of H^+ is likely to vary across a wider range than the concentration of DIC and Fe. As a result, pH will be the strongest effect within a hypothetical system where Fe^{2+} and DIC concentrations are unlikely to vary significantly, though varying Fe^{2+} by orders of magnitude (*e.g.,* across different systems) can produce a similar effect on supersaturation.

The original inputs are presented in Tables S-2 and S-3. These tables contain values measured from 20 m water depth. To evaluate the saturation states of siderite, individual variables including Fe, HCO_3^- (DIC) and pH were changed as highlighted below. In the main text Figure 4, concentrations were used in place of activities to simplify the calculations, which may introduce an error at higher concentrations.

X-ray Absorption Spectra. Samples were finely powdered using a mortar and pestle inside a N_2 gas-filled glovebox. The powders were pressed into 7 mm pellets and loaded into custom sample holders using carbon tape to secure the pellets to the holder. These holders were transported to the beamline inside a heat-sealed mylar bag with oxygen-removing sachets. The Fe and Mn K-edge XANES analyses were performed at beamline 9-BM at the Advanced Photon Source of Argonne National Laboratory in fluorescence mode using a Vortex four element silicon drift detector with a Si(111) and Si(220) monochromator. Energy was calibrated with an Fe foil and the E0 set to 7112 eV, and a Mn foil with E0 set to 6539 eV. For sediments, three scans were collected *per* sample for Fe and six for Mn. For sediment traps, 10 scans were collected *per* sample for Fe and 15–20 for Mn. Channels were summed and deadtime corrected at the beamline. Scans were averaged and normalised in SixPack (Webb, 2005). Pre-edge peak fitting was done in XAS Viewer (Version Larch 0.9.58, https://xraypy.github.io/xraylarch/). Linear combination fitting (LCF) of Fe EXAFS was done in Athena (Version 0.9.26). Fits were performed from k 2–8 Å.

Results

Lake Properties

Consistent with previous observations (Lambrecht *et al.,* 2018, 2020), the Canyon Lake oxycline is positioned at ~12 m water depth, and an interval of low dissolved oxygen concentrations (typically between 1–10 µM) transitions to permanently ferruginous waters at a chemocline between 17–18 m. Strongly ferruginous waters (aqueous Fe concentrations up to ~1600 µM) extend to the bottom, at ~23 m maximum depth. The pH of ferruginous waters near the sediment-water interface ranges from 6.2 to 7.1 (average = 6.5; Fig. S-2). The pH of the middle portion of the water column, where oxygen concentrations are low but dissolved Fe does not accumulate (6–14 m), are slightly lower (ranging from 5.6 to 6.9 at 12 m). The surface waters have consistently higher pH, ranging from 6.6 to 7.1.

Sediment Geochemistry and Mineralogy

The ferruginous sediments of Canyon Lake are exceptionally porous and rich in organic carbon (12.2 to 30.7 wt. %), with the two components linked: the high porosity is enabled both by water-rich sediments and easily displaced organic matter (and likely further displacement by subsequent freezing). Visual description indicates that the organic carbon fraction is largely allochthonous, consisting mainly of detritus from the landscape (pine needles, wood fragments), and the average C/N ratio of the sediment organic carbon is elevated (15.5), further suggesting a dominance of terrestrial sources (Meyers and Ishiwatari, 1993).

The average bulk concentration of Fe is 6.6 wt. %, but spikes to 9.9 wt. % Fe at ~60 cm depth. The concentration of Mn averages 0.44 wt. % but also spikes to 0.97 wt. % Mn at ~60 cm depth. The ratio of Fe/Ti increases and Fe/Mn decreases in the siderite zone (~60 cm depth), due to more efficient Fe and Mn sequestration in the carbonate phase, and not enhanced delivery of Fe or Mn to the system. Ratios are more variable in the upper core, particularly that of Si/Al, precluding clear interpretation of trends (Fig. S-1).

In the lower portion of the core (60–90 cm), there are intervals where the Fe_{ox1} pool is increased at the expense of the $Fe(II)_{unsulf}$ pool, potentially indicating a degree of sample oxidation, most likely during collection or storage, which dominantly impacted the most sensitive $Fe(II)_{unsulf}$ phases; these samples are shown in Table S-1, but were removed from the plot shown in the main text.

The concentration of DIC in porewaters was generally at or slightly above the level observed in the deepest ferruginous water mass, with a positive C-isotopic composition consistent with residual DIC reflecting the loss of isotopically light carbon to methane production (Lambrecht *et al.,* 2020).

X-ray Absorption Spectra Supplementary Results and Discussion

Pre-edge Peak Fitting

A baseline was fit to the pre-edge and initial edge jump region of the Fe XANES using a linear and Lorentzian model. Gaussian peaks were fit to the pre-edge peak. Two gaussians produced the best fit for the sediment trap samples. Three gaussians produced the best fit for sediments, although one of the peaks for the 64 and 110 cm samples had a very small area with large relative standard deviation. The centroid energy systematically decreased with depth through the water column and sediments, although the 110 cm sample had a slightly higher energy centroid than the 64 cm sample. Standards with known $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$ were not analysed with the samples, so a quantitative calibration of $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$ was not possible. However, the centroid energies are consistent with the sediment trap samples being a mixture of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+}, and sediment samples being predominantly Fe^{2+} (Wilke, 2001; Ellison *et al*., 2020). Pre-edge fit results are reported in Table S-4 and are reported in the Figure S-3.

Linear Combination Fitting

To investigate the composition of the water particulates and sediments in Canyon Lake, linear combination fittings (LCF) of the Fe X-ray adsorption near edge structures (XANES) across 7100 to 7180 eV and extended X-ray adsorption fine structures (EXAFS) across k^3-weighted chi (k)-space 2–8 were performed on the samples using 5 standards (Green Rust Iron, Magnetite, a biogenic Fe (oxyhydr)oxide ["Bio Fe"], Greigite, and Siderite) for the Fe sediment data and 9 standards (Green Rust Iron, Magnetite, Hematite, Lepidocrocite, Bio Fe, Greigite, Siderite, Goethite, and 2-line Ferrihydrite) for the Fe water column particulates. Weighted components were normalised to the total sum, which was not forced to sum to 1, following recommendation by Calvin (2013). However, non-normalised sums were within ± 0.25 from 1. The 'goodness of fit' was determined by R-factors values and the Hamilton Test was utilised as a statistical assessment to indicate the significance between subsequent fits produced from the LCF following parameters outlined by Calvin (2013).

Linear combination fits for the sediment trap material from water column data at 15 m and 20 m had >10 fits that could not be distinguished statistically in normalised energy or in k^3-weighted chi (k)-space. Therefore, this data is excluded from consideration. Sediment trap material from 7.5 m water depth had 2 fits which could not be distinguished in k^3-weighted chi (k)-space and are given in Figure S-4 and Table S-6. Because both fits are equally good, caution should be given to conclusions relying on one fit over the other.

Linear combination fits for the sediment data at 110 cm resulted in one fit that was statistically better than all subsequent fits in normalised energy. For k^3-weighted chi (k)-space, LCF also found one fit that was statistically better than all other fits for sediment data at 22 and 64 cm. The LCF data in normalised energy for 22 and 64 cm and the k^3-weighted chi (k)-space for 110 cm all had between 1–2 additional fits that could not be statistically distinguished and can be seen in Figures S-5 and S-6. A fit that contained Bio Fe, Siderite and Greigite was common across all the samples for the sediment data in both normalised energy and in k^3-weighted chi (k)-space and is shown in Figure S-7. All statistics and values for the LCF results for XANES and EXAFS are given in Table S-5.

Supplementary Tables

Table S-1 Iron phase partitioning data for Canyon Lake Samples. Samples in *italics* displayed indications of oxidation subsequent to core recovery and were not plotted in the main text.

#	Name	Depth (cm)	$Fe(II)_{unsulf}$ (wt.%)	$Fe_{ox1}(III)$ (wt.%)	Fe_{ox2} (wt.%)	Fe_{mag} (wt.%)	Fe_{py} (wt.%)	Fe_{AVS} (wt.%)	Fe_{HR} (wt.%)	Fe_{HR}/Fe_T	Fe_{py}/Fe_{HR}	Fe (wt.%) ICP
25	Core C 14 cm	14	2.16	0.30	0.38	0.05	0.23	0	3.12	0.83	0.07	3.75
26	Core C 22 cm	22	2.00	0.33	0.39	0.06	0.18	0	2.96	0.79	0.06	3.74
27	Core C 30 cm	30	2.47	0.19	0.40	0.05	0.24	0	3.34	0.84	0.07	4.00
28	Core C 39 cm	39	2.50	0.19	0.43	0.05	0.22	0	3.39	0.84	0.06	4.06
29	Core C 44 cm	44	2.22	0.17	0.46	0.07	0.16	0	3.09	0.76	0.05	4.06
30	Core C 49 cm	49	2.37	0.25	0.43	0.06	0.19	0	3.30	0.81	0.06	4.06
31	Core C 54 cm	54	2.18	0.42	0.41	0.06	0.18	0	3.24	0.84	0.06	3.86
32	Core C 59 cm	59	1.81	0.58	0.45	0.07	0.16	0	3.07	0.79	0.05	3.90
33	Core C 64 cm	64	2.82	0.82	0.52	0.08	0.18	0	4.42	0.82	0.04	5.41
34	Core C 71 cm	71	2.97	1.22	0.53	0.07	0.15	0	4.95	0.90	0.03	5.47
35	*Core C 78 cm*	*78*	*0.82*	*2.01*	*0.56*	*0.09*	*0.15*	*0*	*3.63*	*0.79*	*0.04*	*4.61*
36	Core C 81 cm	81	2.25	0.74	0.51	0.07	0.16	0	3.74	0.83	0.04	4.50
37	*Core C 84 cm*	*84*	*0.97*	*2.69*	*0.59*	*0.08*	*0.15*	*0*	*4.47*	*0.92*	*0.03*	*4.84*
38	Core C 88 cm	88	2.34	0.72	0.48	0.06	0.20	0	3.79	0.83	0.05	4.58
39	*Core C 94 cm*	*94*	*0.72*	*2.05*	*0.51*	*0.07*	*0.19*	*0*	*3.53*	*0.83*	*0.05*	*4.24*
40	Core C 100 cm	100	2.39	0.52	0.48	0.07	0.19	0	3.66	0.90	0.05	4.06
41	*Core C 105 cm*	*105*	*0.69*	*2.16*	*0.49*	*0.07*	*0.09*	*0*	*3.51*	*0.85*	*0.03*	*4.12*
42	Core C 110 cm	110	2.37	0.32	0.38	0.06	0.20	0	3.33	0.87	0.06	3.82
43	Core C 117 cm	117	2.27	0.65	0.46	0.06	0.22	0	3.66	0.85	0.06	4.29

Table S-2 Siderite saturation calculations (as log *Q*/*K*) based on Canyon Lake waters.

Species	Concentration (mg/)	pH	Siderite (log *Q*/*K*)
Fe^{2+}	94.330	6	0.185
Fe^{2+}	94.330	6.5	0.9594
Fe^{2+}	94.330	6.8	1.356
Fe^{2+}	94.330	7	1.596
Fe^{2+}	94.330	7.5	2.134
Fe^{2+}	94.330	8	2.598

Table S-3 Initial conditions for siderite saturation calculations based on Canyon Lake bottom water.

Species	Concentration	Units
Al^{3+}	0.363	mg/L
$B(OH)_3$	0.050	mg/L
Ca^{2+}	29.000	mg/L
Cr^{2+}	0.003	mg/L
Fe^{2+}	94.330	mg/L
K^+	1.787	mg/L
Mn^{2+}	3.039	mg/L
Mg^{2+}	7.825	mg/L
Na^+	7.318	mg/L
HPO_4^{2-}	0.232	mg/L
F^-	< 0.1	mg/L
Cl^-	11.300	mg/L
NO_2^-	< 0.1	mg/L
Br^-	0.500	mg/L
SO_4^{2-}	< 0.1	mg/L
NO_3^-	< 0.1	mg/L
HCO_3^-	5.640	mmol/L
O_2	0.041	mg/L
pH	6.8	H^+
Temperature	5.6	C
Siderite	1.225	log *Q*/*K*

Table S-4 Fe XANES Pre-Edge Characteristics for Canyon Lake Samples.

Sample	Height	Position (eV)	FWHM (eV)	Area	Total Area	Centroid (eV)	χ^2
7.5 m trap	0.024±0.006	7113.03±0.23	2.35±0.21	0.061±0.020	0.147±0.029	7114.08±0.04	2.88×10^{-5}
	0.032±0.005	7114.83±0.20	2.51±0.25	0.086±0.021			
15 m trap	0.025±0.003	7113.76±0.25	3.06±0.28	0.080±0.017	0.104±0.021	7114.06±0.02	1.47×10^{-5}
	0.013±0.005	7115.09±0.04	1.79±0.25	0.024±0.013			
20 m trap	0.032±0.002	7113.42±0.13	2.67±0.17	0.091±0.011	0.127±0.015	7113.88±0.02	2.19×10^{-5}
	0.018±0.004	7115.03±0.09	1.87±0.13	0.036±0.010			
22 cm core	0.024±0.007	7111.84±0.44	2.56±0.41	0.065±0.029	0.148±0.040	7112.72±0.02	1.02×10^{-5}
	0.008±0.011	7112.54±0.14	1.36±0.45	0.012±0.020			
	0.034±0.007	7113.55±0.15	1.98±0.17	0.071±0.018			
64 cm core	0.002±0.001	7110.78±0.09	0.89±0.36	0.002±0.002	0.154±0.131	7112.64±0.01	1.09×10^{-5}
	0.028±0.020	7112.13±1.25	3.02±1.00	0.090±0.094			
	0.026±0.033	7113.44±0.21	2.19±0.46	0.062±0.091			
110 cm core	0.027±0.003	7111.79±0.21	2.50±0.23	0.072±0.015	0.160±0.020	7112.68±0.01	1.04×10^{-5}
	0.005±0.003	7112.54±0.07	1.01±0.24	0.006±0.004			
	0.038±0.005	7113.47±0.08	2.03±0.09	0.082±0.013			

Table S-5 Results of linear combination fitting for **(a)** EXAFS at the Fe K-edge for Canyon Lake sediments and sediment trap material at 7.5 m depth and **(b)** XANES at the Fe K-edge for Canyon Lake sediments. The lowest R factor for multiple fits is the best fit. The *p*-value indicates the significance.

(a) EXAFS

	Green Rust	Bio Fe	Greigite	Siderite	Hematite	R Factor	*p*-value *vs.* best fit
22cm	-	57.8 ± 4	33.2 ± 5	9.0 ± 1	-	0.0513	-
64 cm	-	49.6 ± 3	30.6 ± 4	19.8 ± 1	-	0.0526	-
110 cm	-	58.8 ± 4	32.5 ± 5	8.7 ± 1	-	0.0630	-
	9.3 ± 3	57.7 ± 6	33.0 ± 7	-	-	0.0842	0.068
	-	91.9 ± 3	-	8.1 ± 2	-	0.0877	0.051
7.5 m		95.4 ± 4	-	15.5 ± 2	15.6 ± 2	0.06636	-
		72.3 ± 6	67.2 ± 8	22.1 ± 2	-	0.07432	-

(b) XANES

	Green Rust	Magnetite	Bio Fe	Greigite	Siderite	R factor	*p*-value *vs.* best fit
22 cm	36.3 ± 1	-	53.1 ± 0.5	-	10.6 ± 0.7	0.000456	-
		-	54.6 ± 0.6	25.2 ± 0.8	20.2 ± 0.5	0.000602	0.087
64 cm	-	-	24.2 ± 0.8	43.7 ± 1	32.1 ± 0.7	0.00117	-
	-	46.4 ± 2	-	24.3 ± 2	29.2 ± 0.7	0.00120	0.59
	27.6 ± 3	49.2 ± 2	-	-	23.2 ± 1	0.00158	0.19
110 cm	-	-	24.2 ± 0.8	43.7 ± 1	32.1 ± 0.7	0.00117	-

Table S-6 Raw sample depths and XRF, XRD, and Elementar CS measurements.

Table S-6 is available for download (.xlsx) from the online version of this article at http://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.2414.

Supplementary Figures



Figure S-1 Iron speciation data and selected XRF element ratios for the Canyon Lake core. All speciation samples plot in the anoxic ferruginous field (Poulton and Canfield, 2011). The element ratio of Si/Al implies a consistently low flux of siliciclastic materials to the lake, with perhaps intervals of slight increases (or declines in diatom productivity) in the upper most 40 cm of sediments. The ratio of Fe/Ti implies that the increase in Fe concentration observed in the middle of the core (~60 cm) is not derived from an increased flux of siliciclastic or terrigenous Fe. The decline in the Fe/Mn ratio in the same horizon suggests that the siderite present in that interval preferentially incorporates Fe relative to Mn.



Figure S-2 Canyon Lake pH profiles, 2015–2018. Data from Swanner *et al.* (2021).



Figure S-3 Baseline subtracted pre-edge fits of sediment trap samples **(a–c)** and sediment samples **(d–f)** from Canyon Lake. The centroid position was 7114.01 ± 0.02 eV for the sediment traps and 7112.68 ± 0.01 eV for sediments.



Figure S-4 Linear combination fitting results of the Fe-K edge EXAFS spectra for sediment trap material at 7.5 m water depth. Data for these results are given in Table S-5.



Figure S-5 Linear combination fit results of the normalised XANES Fe K-edge spectra which could not be readily distinguished at sediment depths **(a)** 22 cm and **(b, c)** 64 cm.



Figure S-6 Linear combination fit results of the Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra which could not be readily distinguished at sediment depth 110 cm.



Figure S-7 Linear combination fitting results of the **(a)** Fe K-edge normalised XANES spectra and **(b)** Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra for sediment at 22 cm, 64 cm, and 110 cm depths.

Supplementary Information References

Calvin, S. (2013) Linear Combination Analysis. In: Calvin, S. (Ed.) *XAFS for Everyone*, First Edition, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton. https://doi.org/10.1201/b14843

Canfield, D.E., Raiswell, R., Westrich, J.T., Reaves, C.M., Berner, R.A. (1986) The use of chromium reduction in the analysis of reduced inorganic sulfur in sediments and shales. *Chemical Geology* 54, 149–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(86)90078-1

Ellison, E.T., Mayhew, L.E., Miller, H.M., Templeton, A.S. (2020) Quantitative microscale Fe redox imaging by multiple energy X-ray fluorescence mapping at the Fe K pre-edge peak. *American Mineralogist* 105, 1812–1829. https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2020-7359

Harris, D., Horwáth, W.R., Van Kessel, C. (2001) Acid fumigation of soils to remove carbonates prior to total organic carbon or carbon-13 isotopic analysis. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 65, 1853–1856. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2001.1853

Islam, R. (2022) Investigating the Formation Mechanisms of Sedimentary Pyrite under Anoxic & Ferruginous Conditions. M.S. Thesis, Iowa State University. https://dr.lib.iastate.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/86bc1689-0912-452d-8afa-e06592fdb161/content

Lambrecht, N., Wittkop, C., Katsev, S., Fakhraee, M., Swanner, E.D. (2018) Geochemical Characterization of Two Ferruginous Meromictic Lakes in the Upper Midwest, USA. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 123, 1–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2018JG004587

Lambrecht, N., Katsev, S., Wittkop, C., Hall, S.J., Sheilk, C.S., Picarad, A., Fakhraee, M., Swanner, E.D. (2020) Biogeochemical and physical controls on methane fluxes from two ferruginous meromictic lakes. *Geobiology* 18, 54–69. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12365

Ledesma, G., Islam, R., Swanner, E.D. (2023) Evaluation of preservation protocols for oxygen-sensitive minerals within laminated aquatic sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography Methods* 21, 127–140. https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10533

Meyers, P.A., Ishiwatari, R. (1993) Lacustrine organic geochemistry—an overview of indicators of organic matter sources and diagenesis in lake sediments. *Organic Geochemistry* 20, 867–900. https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(93)90100-P

Poulton, S.W. (2021) *The Iron Speciation Paleoredox Proxy*. Elements in Geochemical Tracers in Earth System Science series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108847148

Poulton, S.W., Canfield, D.E. (2005) Development of a sequential extraction procedure for iron: implications for iron partitioning in continentally derived particulates. *Chemical Geology* 214, 209–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.09.003

Poulton, S.W., Canfield, D.E. (2011) Ferruginous conditions: A dominant feature of the ocean through Earth's history. *Elements* 7, 107–112. https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.7.2.107

Stookey, L.L. (1970) Ferrozine-A new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. *Analytical Chemistry* 42, 779–781. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60289a016

Swanner, E.D., Lambrecht, N., Wittkop, C., Katsev, S., Ledesma,G., Leung, T. (2021) *Water properties of Brownie Lake, MN and Canyon Lake, MI from 2015-2019*, v1. Environmental Data Initiative. https://doi.org/10.6073/PASTA/4EAF698B4EFBAF793B83D95F464D1672

Webb, S.M. (2005) SIXpack: a graphical user interface for XAS analysis using IFEFFIT. *Physica Scripta,* 2005, T115. https://doi.org/10.1238/Physica.Topical.115a01011

Wilke, M. (2001) Oxidation state and coordination of Fe in minerals: An Fe K-XANES spectroscopic study. *American Mineralogist* 86, 714. https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2001-5-612

Wright Jr., H.E. (1980) Coring of soft lake sediments. *Boreas* 9, 104–114. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.1980.tb01032.x

Xiong, Y., Guilbaud, R., Peacock, C.L., Cox, R.P., Canfield, D.E., Krom, M.D., Poulton, S.W. (2019) Phosphorus cycling in Lake Cadagno, Switzerland: A low sulfate euxinic ocean analogue. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 251, 116–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.02.011

Zegeye, A., Bonneville, S., Benning, L.G., Sturm, A., Fowle, D.A., Jones, C., Canfield, D.E., Ruby, C., MacLean, L.C., Nomosatryo, S., Crowe, S.A., Poulton, S.W. (2012) Green rust formation controls nutrient availability in a ferruginous water column. *Geology* 40, 599–602. https://doi.org/10.1130/g32959.1